



Karel Bostik
15116-86St., Edmonton, AB, T5E 5X6, Canada
Tel. (780) 478-3108

DAC
Shu

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O.BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313
(Paul Shanoski)

December 24, 2006

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b)
(Application No.10/806,360)

Dear Mr. Paul Shanoski,

In your letter dated November 13 you write that my petition is not sufficient to withdraw the holding of abandonment because it does not contain a certificate of mailing by the Express Mail service of the USPS.

In my petitions from April 2006, in support of my claim of timely reply to the request for missing parts, I have supplied a copy of the draft for US \$145 issued to the US Patent office, dated June 25, 2004, and the issuing bank receipt dated June 25, 2004. I also attached a Customer Receipt for sending the Registered mail to the US patent office and a bill from the Post Office for sending this registered mail, dated June 29, 2004.

Obviously there is no problem providing proof of my timely reply to the request for the Missing Parts. The problem is the **kind** of proof which is required. If I understand correctly, the only recognized proof is either from US Express Mail, which I could not use for mailing outside of the USA, or a Fax unit Report from faxing reply, but I could not use a Fax to send the draft.

These problems in establishing the timely mailing of my reply have led to this unavoidable situation. That is why I am filing petition on the grounds of **UNINTENTIONAL DELAY**.

Attached please find money draft for US \$750 as required.

Sincerely,

Karel Bostik

Ps: I am a 68 year old retired draftsman who is trying to get some supplement to a small pension. Please be kind to me and do not kill my efforts right at the beginning.
As I was out of town Nov.19 to Dec.14, I received your letter on December 15.